

-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY , THE FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 7092 of 2005

Between:

Kyasa Krishna Murthy, s/o Viswanatham,
r/o H.No.5-3-113, Paripalli Street, Siddipet, Medak District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Assistant Accounts Officer, E.R.O.C.P.D.C. of A.P.Ltd., Siddipet, Medak District.
- 2 The Addl.Asst.Engineer (Operations), C.P.D.C. of A.P.Ltd., Town-I, Siddipet, Medak District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not disposing the representation of the petitioner dated 18-3-2005 as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner dated 18-3-2005 and consider the same by granting installments to pay the amount noted in the Lr.No.AAO/Spdt./BSHV/D/No.684/2005 dated 23-2-2005 and pass such other order or orders as this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.GANDURI NAGESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.M.SRIRAMULU REDDY (SC FOR APTRANSCO)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

-

ORAL ORDER:

Heard both sides and at their request, this Writ Petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission.

This Writ Petition is filed for issuance of writ particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not disposing of the representation of the petitioner dated 18.3.2005 as illegal arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner dated 18.3.2005

The grievance of the petitioner is that a demand was made by the respondents demanding payment of bill amount for the year 2001. In fact, the petitioner is willing to pay the same but he seeks some time to pay the same. It appears that the petitioner had already made a representation-dated 18.3.2005 and the same has been rejected. In view of the fact that the bill amount is pertaining to year 2001, the grievance of the petitioner ought to have been considered by the respondents.

Hence, having regard to the facts and circumstances and to meet the ends of justice, I feel it expedient to permit the petitioner to pay the bill amount at the rate of Rs. 10,000/- per month.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 01.04.2005

Ka

To

- 1 The Assistant Accounts Officer, E.R.O.C.P.D.C. of A.P.Ltd., Siddipet, Medak District.
- 2 The Addl.Asst.Engineer (Operations), C.P.D.C. of A.P.Ltd., Town-I, Siddipet, Medak District.
3. 2 CD copies